

# 2021 Ratio Report



Larry Hogan, Governor · Boyd K. Rutherford, Lt. Governor · Michael L. Higgs, Jr., Director

The State Department of Assessments and Taxation (SDAT) is required to submit a report on assessment ratios in each county in accordance with Tax Property Article § 2-202(12) of the Annotated Code of Maryland.

In accordance with this requirement, SDAT is pleased to submit the 2021 Assessment Ratio Report. This report measures the quality of real property assessments in each of Maryland's 24 jurisdictions.

The Department has adopted the national standards for measuring property assessment quality as outlined by the International Association of Assessing Officers. Those national standards, as well as the Department's compliance with those standards, are outlined in this report. Statewide, the Department has met the IAAO standard for coefficient of dispersion, indicating an overall uniformity of assessments.

Our entire team is committed to provide the customers we serve with the highest level of courteous, prompt and efficient service. I hope the information contained in this Report is of value to you and your constituents. As always, I welcome and appreciate the opportunity to share more information on our policies and procedures with you to enhance the level of service provided to our customers.

Very truly yours,

Michael Higgs, Director

 Office of the Director

 300 W. Preston St., Room 605, Baltimore, MD 21201

 www.dat.maryland.gov

 410-767-1191 (phone)
 1-800-552-7724 (MD Relay)

 410-333-5873 (fax)
 1-888-246-5941 (toll free)

### **2021 ASSESSMENT RATIO REPORT**

#### <u>SECTION I – OVERVIEW</u>

The State Department of Assessments and Taxation appraises real property in Maryland once every three years. Assessments are certified by the Department to local governments where they are converted into property tax bills. Properties are valued using the three approaches generally recognized by the appraisal profession: cost, sales comparison, and (when applicable) income.

Residential property characteristics include size, type and condition of a structure, type and quality of construction, and any new improvements or renovations. Commercial property aspects consist of size, type and condition of a structure, type and quality of construction, new improvements or renovations, current use of the property, types of tenants, and vacancy.

This year, the Department valued 759,422 properties, which required the use of mass appraisal techniques. While a fee appraiser is concerned with assessing one property at a time, an assessor is valuing whole neighborhoods through the use of special mass appraisal procedures. The assessor will review the data and calculate replacement costs for improvements/renovations, much like a fee appraiser. The assessor will then review the sales from the area. In Maryland, the county's local assessment office receives a copy of all deeds and property sales prices when the deed transferring the property is recorded with the clerk of the court. In Baltimore City, the Department of Transportation/Property Location Section provides that data to the Department. In the assessor's review and analysis of the sales, the assessor will develop land rates, depreciation tables, and sales analysis reports. After completing the analysis, the assessor applies the factors uniformly throughout the neighborhood to value all comparable properties consistently. Rental rates, vacancy and collection loss, expense ratios, and capitalization rates are analyzed and uniformly applied for comparable income-producing properties.

The Department's work is reviewed by legislative auditors and often scrutinized by individual property owners. SDAT is continually striving for higher quality in assessment uniformity and consistency. Quality control begins with the individual assessor and the assessor's immediate supervisor. As work is completed, each assessor's supervisor reviews the analysis, makes recommendations, and approves the work. When the assessor completes the revaluation, the supervisor makes a random check using procedural and data editing to ensure valuation quality.

Measurement of quality is the assessed value/sale price ratio, which measures how closely the Department's values compare to the actual sales prices. Although the average assessed value/sale price ratio indicates an average level of value, the marketplace is not perfect and there will always be properties that sell for more or less than can be anticipated. This may be due to factors such as buyers willing to pay extra for a unique property or declining values in a buyer's market.

In mass appraisal and assessment ratio studies, SDAT is not only concerned with average assessed value/sale price levels (ratios) but also with the degree of spread (variation) from the typical ratio. The measurement of variation is the Coefficient of Dispersion (COD). The lower the COD, the more consistent the assessment level.

In the balance of this report, Section II will give a more detailed explanation of the statistical terms as applied to assessment administration and quality control. Section III explains the International Association of Assessing Officers' Standard of Performance for ratio studies. Section IV gives an overview of statewide appraisal quality for the most recent valuation of triennial Group 3, performed for January 1, 2021.

#### <u>SECTION II – RATIO STATISTICS</u>

The purpose of this ratio study is to test the quality of the assessment product, which is examined from both an assessment level and assessment uniformity standpoint. The assessment level examines the degree to which the assessments are performed based upon the statutory requirement of full market value. Assessment uniformity measures the degree to which different properties are assessed at equal percentages of their market values. From our most recent valuation, the Department performs many ratio studies examining neighborhoods, types of structures, age of structures, etc.

Several measures of central tendency are used as performance gauges and are affected differently by outliers. A ratio of assessed value to sale price is calculated for each property, with the average ratio being the total of all ratios divided by the number of sales. The average (mean) ratio has a natural upward bias, indicating a higher level of assessment than has occurred. The median is the midpoint of any data listed from lowest to highest, and the median ratio is the point where half the ratios fall above and half the ratios fall below. The median ratio counts each ratio equally. It is less biased by extreme ratios (outliers) or by individual property values. The weighted ratio is the total of all assessed values divided by the sum of all sale prices. Since the weighted ratio counts each dollar equally, it is swayed by higher-priced properties.

In addition to the general level of assessments, the Department is also concerned with the relative spread or variation that individual ratios fall from the typical. This variability is measured in two ways: coefficient of dispersion and coefficient of variation. These statistics measure horizontal inequities, or the dispersion of ratios regardless of the value of the individual properties. The coefficient of dispersion is calculated by dividing the average absolute deviation by the median ratio. The average absolute deviation is calculated by subtracting the median ratio from each ratio, adding all the results while ignoring positive and negative signs, and dividing that result by the number of ratios. Acceptable coefficients of dispersion depend on property type but should typically be 20% or less. Coefficient of variation is calculated by dividing the standard deviation by the mean or average ratio and multiplying by 100. The variance is calculated by subtracting the mean from each ratio, squaring the differences, summing the squared differences, dividing by

the total number of ratios less one. The standard deviation is calculated by taking the square root of the variance. The coefficient of dispersion is the preferable measure of variance unless a sample is normally distributed. In a normal distribution situation, coefficient of variation is the preferred measure of variance.

Another statistical measure used to gauge assessment uniformity is the Price Related Differential (PRD). The PRD tests to see if higher or lower-valued properties are assessed at the same level, and is calculated by dividing the average ratio by the weighted ratio. This statistic measures vertical inequities. When low-value properties are valued at a higher percentage of their market value, the property taxes levied against these assessments would be considered regressive. Conversely, if high-value properties are valued at a higher rate of their market value, property taxes levied against these assessments would be regarded as progressive. Typically, PRDs have an upward bias because higher-priced properties are unique. PRDs should range between 0.98 and 1.03, except for very small samples. For example, a PRD of 1.03 indicates undervaluation of high priced properties, while a PRD of .98 shows an under valuation of low priced properties.

Other descriptive statistical methods that may be used to analyze the assessment product are histograms, frequency distributions, and scatter diagrams. For further information on statistics relating to assessments, please refer to the International Association of Assessing Officers' publication "Improving Real Property Assessment".

Table I is the Fiscal Year 2021 Real Property Base/Ratio by Subdivision with assessment ratios expressed relative to full value. Table II is a history of weighted assessment ratios converted to full value (100% levels) that allows for comparison between years by adjusting for statutory changes in the assessment level. Table III displays examples of the statistical calculations used in this report.

Tables IV and V show the residential and commercial 2021 Ratio Study data by jurisdiction at assessed full market value level for the area most recently assessed. Following the ratio study is Table VI of the report detailing issues of assessment and appraisal quality that are summarized in Section IV.

#### <u>SECTION III – RATIO STUDY STANDARDS VALUES TO SALE PRICES</u>

The International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO) is a professional organization that provides educational programs, assessment administration standards, and research on appraisal and tax policy issues. IAAO has developed numerous standards and texts on appraisal and assessment administration. Additionally, the organization is a founding member of the national Appraisal Foundation, which developed the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP).

IAAO's Standard on Ratio Studies was first published in September 1980 and was revised in April 2013. The Standard is an advisory and guides those performing ratio studies in the mass

appraisal field regarding the design, statistics, performance measures, and other issues related to such studies. The Maryland State Department of Assessments and Taxation uses the fundamental ratio statistical measures of the Standard and has adopted IAAO's Assessment Ratio Performance Standard as the criteria to judge the performance of Maryland revaluations.

The IAAO Ratio Performance Standards are:

General Property Class	Jurisdiction Size /Profile /Market Activity	Max COD				
Residential improved (single family dwellings	Very large jurisdictions / densely populated / newer properties / active markets	5.0 to 10.0				
condominiums, manuf.	Large to mid-sized jurisdictions / older & newer properties / less active markets					
housing, 2-4 family units)	Rural or small jurisdictions / older properties / depressed market areas					
Income-producing	Very large jurisdictions / densely populated / newer properties / active markets	5.0 to 15.0				
industrial, apartments,)	Large to mid-sized jurisdictions / older & newer properties / less active markets					
	Rural or small jurisdictions / older properties / depressed market areas					
Residential vacant land	Very large jurisdictions / rapid development / active markets	5.0 to 15.0				
	Large to mid-sized jurisdictions / slower development / less active markets	5.0 to 20.0				
	Rural or small jurisdictions/ little development / depressed markets	5.0 to 25.0				
Other (non-agricultural)	Very large jurisdictions / rapid development / active markets					
vacant land	Large to mid-sized jurisdictions / slower development / less active markets	5.0 to 25.0				
	Rural or small jurisdictions/ little development / depressed markets	5.0 to 30.0				

#### Ratio Study Uniformity Standards Indicating Acceptable General Quality\*

These types of property are provided for general guidance only and may not represent jurisdictional requirements. \*The COD performance recommendations are based upon representative and adequate sample sizes, with outliers trimmed and a 95% level of confidence.

\*Appraisal level recommendation for each type of property shown should be between 0.90 and 1.10. \*PRD's for each type of property should be between 0.98 and 1.03 to demonstrate vertical equity. PRD standards are not absolute and may be less meaningful when samples are small or when wide variation in prices exists. In such cases, statistical tests of vertical equity hypotheses should be substituted. \*CODs lower than 5.0 may indicate sales chasing or non-representative samples.

Source: Standard on Ratio Studies; International Association of Assessing Officers; Kansas City, MO; April 2013; p. 34.

Ratio studies may be performed for various reasons, including appraisal accuracy and assessment equity studies, to judge the need for management of a reappraisal, to identify problems with appraisal procedures, to assist in market analysis, and to adjust appraised values. Many ratio study design issues must be considered depending on the purpose of the ratio study.

This study considers unadjusted sales price data six months before and six months after the date of finality (date of valuation, January 1<sup>st</sup>) for which assessments have become active so that an unbiased estimate of assessment performance can be obtained. Sales that are arms-length

transactions between willing and informed buyers and sellers are used in this study. Maryland's ratio performance conforms to the IAAO Standard.

While several measures of central tendency are calculated (average, median, and weighted ratios), the median is less affected by extreme ratios. The IAAO observes in its Standard that the median is generally the preferred measure of central tendency for monitoring appraisal performance. For this reason, median ratios are used in this study to measure compliance with IAAO standards.

As a proxy for time adjustments, this report uses sales from six months before the date of finality to six months after the date of finality. Under normal circumstances, with steadily changing property values, these sales will balance. In unusual cases, when property values are rapidly changing, this will affect the ratio statistics.

On average, the residential values in this group increased by 7.5%, and commercial values increased 9.7%, with an overall average increase of 8.1% statewide.

Property value changes varied by region in the state since the last triennial revaluation in January 2018.

Statewide, the Department met the IAAO standard for coefficient of dispersion indicating an overall uniformity of assessments.

Commercial properties are generally less similar than residential properties. Many commercial properties are income-producing and are valued using the income approach. Most commercial uses are cyclical. Various segments of the commercial real estate market may be ascending in value as a class, while others may be declining in market popularity. Commercial and industrial properties are very unique which is why measures of central tendency tend to vary more widely than with residential properties.

The number of commercial properties is small compared to the number of residential properties. In several jurisdictions, the number of commercial properties sold is small enough that the statistical measures are prone to bias. Calvert, Caroline, Dorchester, Somerset, Talbot, and Worcester Counties all had fewer than ten arms-length commercial transfers for Group 3. In those jurisdictions, individual statistical measures would be unreliable due to sample size.

The number of commercial sales increased from 552 statewide in the 2020 Ratio Report to 631 statewide in the 2021 Ratio Report.

### <u>SECTION IV – STATEWIDE COMPARISON OF DEPARTMENT'S VALUES TO</u> <u>SALE PRICE</u>

Quality is the degree of excellence of a product or service as determined by the extent to which they measure up to specific standards. In this case, a measure of quality is the ratio study measuring whether the assessor appraised properties uniformly at market value. The ratio study conducted in this report is based upon sales data occurring after the time period of sales used by the assessor in the group of properties being reassessed.

This ratio study is a cross-check by Department management to ensure the quality of the mass appraisal work product. The ratio statistics for each county in Table IV was conducted on 33,365 improved residential property sales from July 1, 2020, to June 30, 2021, and compares the Department's valuations to sale prices.

The frequency distribution in Table IV and statistics present a statewide ratio analysis of improved residential property sales from July 1, 2020, to June 30, 2021, comparing the Department's values to sales prices. The measures of central tendency indicate that properties are valued at approximately 90% of the sale price and, on average, all other properties have similar ratios as indicated by the 10.28 Coefficient of Dispersion. Additionally, higher valued properties are assessed at a similar level to lower-valued properties, as indicated by a Price Related Differential statistic of 1.00. A price-related differential of 1.00 indicates vertical uniformity across all strata of property values.

The analysis from Table IV and the following descriptive statistics indicates that values determined by assessors for the most recent triennial Group 3 valuation attained a uniform and appropriate level of value. At the time of valuation, the assessments were close to the sale price.

In summary, the data shows that properties throughout the State are assessed uniformly as required by law.

### Table I Fiscal Year 2021 Real Property Tax Base/Ratio by Jurisdiction

This table shows the taxable assessable base and ratios of real property used for different purposes. Ratios shown are median ratios of arms-length sales of properties in Group 3 that were sold between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2021, compared with the Department's January 1, 2021 assessed value. In jurisdictions with fewer than 10 commercial sales, the statewide ratio is used (see Table V). A ratio of 100% is used for property not assessed on market value.

	Number of	Residential		Commercial		Agricultura	l	Use Value			
	Properties	Base	Ratio	Base	Ratio	Base	Ratio	Base	Ratio	Total Base	Weighted Ratio
Allegany	38,351	2,587,005,103	95.5%	999,087,151	98.6%	140,408,764	95.5%	3,114,467	100.0%	3,729,615,485	96.3%
Anne Arundel	215,287	73,992,750,335	90.1%	22,769,721,307	96.6%	574,884,631	90.1%	14,745,667	100.0%	97,352,101,940	91.5%
Baltimore City	220,786	26,730,904,422	90.8%	20,685,117,763	86.9%	0	90.8%	0	100.0%	47,416,022,185	89.1%
Baltimore	285,326	65,436,666,272	88.0%	26,562,068,792	86.1%	1,118,094,414	88.0%	63,444,567	100.0%	93,180,274,045	87.5%
Calvert	41,835	11,100,412,485	90.9%	1,433,390,401	94.3%	297,792,307	90.9%	1,600	100.0%	12,831,596,793	91.2%
Caroline	15,894	1,988,725,678	86.2%	413,847,394	94.3%	407,798,346	86.2%	508,467	100.0%	2,810,879,885	87.3%
Carroll	66,554	17,605,494,173	95.8%	2,913,137,180	93.8%	946,184,737	95.8%	4,268,200	100.0%	21,469,084,290	95.5%
Cecil	46,341	7,839,500,199	92.5%	2,629,581,736	95.2%	598,353,987	92.5%	1,936,800	100.0%	11,069,372,722	93.2%
Charles	66,993	15,760,848,664	93.1%	3,570,088,613	92.1%	469,059,332	93.1%	18,660,667	100.0%	19,818,657,276	93.0%
Dorchester	22,090	2,192,925,763	88.3%	543,146,133	94.3%	281,471,896	88.3%	3,332,800	100.0%	3,020,876,592	89.3%
Frederick	102,794	27,055,241,334	88.0%	6,797,647,923	86.9%	1,441,720,037	88.0%	15,241,967	100.0%	35,309,851,261	87.8%
Garrett	28,928	3,856,193,170	90.9%	484,015,065	96.0%	247,688,796	90.9%	0	100.0%	4,587,897,031	91.4%
Harford	97,773	23,240,348,757	88.3%	6,061,621,966	78.6%	807,517,664	88.3%	18,536,933	100.0%	30,128,025,320	86.2%
Howard	106,664	42,988,760,638	91.5%	13,706,051,428	80.6%	438,917,966	91.5%	36,271,600	100.0%	57,170,001,632	88.6%
Kent	12,958	2,200,553,891	88.8%	422,266,963	78.8%	413,005,858	88.8%	2,796,100	100.0%	3,038,622,812	87.2%
Montgomery	333,743	155,284,410,553	92.3%	48,214,529,716	98.0%	669,431,127	92.3%	108,419,399	100.0%	204,276,790,795	93.6%
Prince George's	287,345	76,325,919,167	92.1%	31,528,545,907	95.8%	334,726,375	92.1%	16,690,700	100.0%	108,205,882,149	93.2%
Queen Anne's	25,637	6,967,537,867	93.7%	1,044,494,878	99.8%	816,710,240	93.7%	8,148,400	100.0%	8,836,891,385	94.4%
St. Mary's	48,623	10,672,550,846	88.3%	1,941,902,985	96.5%	700,156,053	88.3%	4,773,267	100.0%	13,319,383,151	89.4%
Somerset	15,865	980,652,562	84.9%	278,663,429	94.3%	151,522,765	84.9%	857,900	100.0%	1,411,696,656	86.6%
Talbot	20,864	6,735,519,721	93.5%	1,118,670,746	94.3%	916,257,516	93.5%	7,259,833	100.0%	8,777,707,816	93.6%
Washington	56,895	8,829,069,714	86.3%	4,224,825,732	91.5%	628,619,631	86.3%	8,407,800	100.0%	13,690,922,877	87.9%
Wicomico	44,676	4,649,356,494	86.0%	1,807,085,251	95.8%	312,980,098	86.0%	3,379,733	100.0%	6,772,801,576	88.4%
Worcester	64,373	13,429,825,747	84.1%	2,868,592,366	94.3%	304,460,512	84.1%	17,341,800	100.0%	16,620,220,425	85.7%
Statewide	2,266,595	608,451,173,555	90.7%	203,018,100,825	94.3%	13,017,763,052	90.7%	358,138,667	100.0%	824,845,176,099	91.5%

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Allegany	95.0	93.0	89.6	90.1	90.0	91.8	94.5%	94.2%	95.2%	94.0%	95.6%	96.4%	95.4%	95.2%	96.3%
Anne Arundel	96.0	95.2	95.1	90.3	89.7	90.2	91.2%	90.7%	93.8%	95.2%	94.3%	96.3%	96.9%	93.2%	91.5%
Baltimore City	92.0	94.7	91.6	91.4	91.3	95.8	94.8%	93.1%	91.0%	92.2%	91.7%	94.7%	95.7%	95.0%	89.1%
Baltimore	94.0	94.6	94.8	91.5	93.6	93.0	87.6%	92.3%	96.8%	94.8%	94.6%	92.3%	92.3%	93.2%	87.5%
Calvert	95.0	95.4	96.0	94.0	91.7	90.6	90.5%	91.1%	91.3%	91.5%	93.3%	94.2%	96.0%	95.0%	91.2%
Caroline	95.0	95.3	92.8	95.7	97.2	98.1	94.4%	95.6%	95.4%	94.8%	95.2%	92.4%	94.5%	96.1%	87.3%
Carroll	96.0	97.1	94.0	89.5	93.2	90.5	91.5%	92.9%	91.3%	92.6%	93.7%	94.9%	94.8%	94.4%	95.5%
Cecil	94.0	94.9	94.9	91.6	87.2	91.2	94.8%	92.4%	93.2%	92.6%	94.2%	96.0%	95.9%	95.8%	93.2%
Charles	94.0	96.4	93.4	92.1	92.2	92.2	91.9%	92.3%	94.5%	93.1%	94.1%	94.3%	93.5%	94.8%	93.0%
Dorchester	91.0	96.9	90.2	95.3	91.2	90.8	98.1%	91.8%	93.1%	93.7%	95.5%	96.1%	94.7%	88.9%	89.3%
Frederick	96.0	98.2	95.6	89.2	93.0	89.2	90.4%	92.1%	90.9%	92.3%	93.2%	94.1%	95.2%	93.2%	87.8%
Garrett	95.0	92.7	91.0	89.9	98.1	90.6	90.2%	94.9%	94.7%	93.3%	96.1%	94.9%	95.3%	94.9%	91.4%
Harford	93.0	96.1	92.8	91.6	91.2	94.2	92.8%	92.0%	91.7%	91.2%	94.9%	93.1%	93.6%	93.1%	86.2%
Howard	97.0	96.5	93.1	88.2	89.6	91.3	89.8%	92.6%	91.3%	94.2%	94.4%	94.0%	95.3%	91.9%	88.6%
Kent	94.0	95.2	91.0	90.8	94.8	98.5	96.9%	96.4%	91.4%	91.7%	97.1%	96.1%	95.7%	94.8%	87.2%
Montgomery	98.0	96.4	95.4	88.4	92.9	92.9	91.6%	92.4%	96.6%	93.6%	93.1%	93.9%	96.2%	95.8%	93.6%
Prince George's	91.0	98.2	96.4	95.3	92.8	92.9	90.7%	91.8%	93.7%	94.3%	92.5%	93.2%	94.4%	94.6%	93.2%
Queen Anne's	96.0	96.4	91.1	90.6	93.6	92.2	95.2%	93.8%	96.4%	98.4%	95.8%	96.7%	96.7%	94.2%	94.4%
St. Mary's	95.0	97.9	96.6	93.3	94.5	94.5	95.3%	94.1%	92.7%	93.2%	94.1%	93.4%	92.9%	94.8%	89.4%
Somerset	86.0	92.5	89.3	85.0	91.5	87.9	96.1%	93.7%	93.3%	94.2%	94.9%	96.7%	92.6%	94.9%	86.6%
Talbot	96.0	98.0	93.9	93.8	97.7	96.8	93.8%	94.5%	92.8%	96.6%	96.6%	98.0%	94.7%	95.2%	93.6%
Washington	97.0	97.2	91.8	92.9	95.4	90.7	90.8%	93.7%	93.1%	93.3%	92.3%	92.7%	92.7%	92.4%	87.9%
Wicomico	89.0	90.3	88.9	89.1	90.6	89.4	91.0%	90.4%	87.8%	91.5%	93.3%	92.5%	92.7%	91.5%	88.4%
Worcester	97.0	93.9	93.9	92.2	89.5	91.4	89.7%	91.5%	90.5%	92.5%	94.6%	92.4%	94.8%	93.9%	85.7%
Statewide	96.0	95.7	94.0	91.0	92.0	91.7	91.3%	92.3%	93.9%	93.2%	93.9%	94.3%	94.9%	94.4%	91.5%

### TABLE IIAssessment Levels

### TABLE IIIIllustrated Ratio Study Statistics

	(1.) Property Number		(2.) Sale Price	(3.) Assessed Value	(4.) Ratio A/S %	(5.) Absolute Deviation from Median	
	1		28.000	22.400	80%	20%	
	2		22,000	19.250	88%	12%	
	3		63,500	55,575	88%	12%	
	4		55,900	51,700	92%	7%	
	5		20,000	19,000	95%	5%	
	6		21,000	20,475	98%	2%	
	7		80,000	80,000	100%	0%	
	8		40,000	40,000	100%	0%	
	9		33,000	33,300	101%	1%	
	10		45,000	46,125	103%	3%	
	11		24,000	25,200	105%	5%	
	12		39,000	41,925	108%	8%	
	13		37,000	41,625	113%	13%	
	14		40,300	45,800	114%	14%	
	15		51,000	59,925	118%	18%	
	TOTAL		599,700	602,300	1500%	120%	
Average Ratio		=	Total of Ratios (4.) 1500%	÷ ÷	Number of Sales (1.) 15	=	100%
Weighted Ratio		=	Total of Assessed Values (3.)	÷	Total of Sale Prices (2.)		
			602,300	÷	599,700	=	100%
Avenues Deviction		_	Total Deviations (5)		Number of Soles (1)		
Average Deviation		=	120%	÷	15	=	8%
Median Ratio		=	Middle Value of Data Array 100% (i.e. property #8)			=	100%
Coefficient of		_	Average Deviation (5)	÷	Median Ratio (4)		
Dispersion		-	8%	÷	100%	=	7.98
Price Related		=	Average Ratio (4)	÷	Weighted Ratio		
Differential			100%	÷	100%	=	1.00

### Table IV2021 Residential Ratio Study

This table shows arms-length sales of improved residential and condominium properties in Group 3 from July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021. Ratios compare the Department's January 1, 2021 value to the actual sale price.

	Number of	Average	Median	Weighted	Average	Coefficient of	Price Related	Standard	Coefficient of	<b>Median Sale</b>
	Sales	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Deviation	Dispersion	Differential	Deviation	Variation	Price
Allegany	290	95.0%	95.5%	94.4%	5.2%	5.42	1.01	0.07	7.00	\$105,000
Anne Arundel	3,112	89.9%	90.1%	88.0%	8.6%	9.56	1.02	0.12	12.83	\$465,000
Baltimore City	3,080	88.2%	90.8%	89.5%	16.1%	17.69	0.98	0.22	24.43	\$210,000
Baltimore	4,258	87.4%	88.0%	86.6%	10.3%	11.73	1.01	0.14	16.53	\$249,000
Calvert	647	91.6%	90.9%	92.1%	6.7%	7.40	1.00	0.09	9.59	\$315,000
Caroline	76	84.7%	86.2%	85.5%	13.2%	15.30	0.99	0.16	18.91	\$216,500
Carroll	1,029	92.3%	95.8%	91.8%	7.3%	7.58	1.01	0.10	10.99	\$325,000
Cecil	761	89.5%	92.5%	89.2%	8.3%	9.02	1.00	0.11	12.61	\$284,500
Charles	1,438	91.1%	93.1%	90.7%	7.8%	8.37	1.00	0.10	10.95	\$390,000
Dorchester	90	85.7%	88.3%	80.2%	15.5%	17.56	1.07	0.20	23.35	\$222,500
Frederick	1,049	86.1%	88.0%	85.1%	9.6%	10.93	1.01	0.13	14.58	\$360,000
Garrett	248	86.5%	90.9%	84.2%	11.4%	12.58	1.03	0.15	16.98	\$355,750
Harford	2,454	86.9%	88.3%	87.6%	7.7%	8.73	0.99	0.10	11.38	\$274,495
Howard	1,951	90.8%	91.5%	90.3%	7.1%	7.74	1.01	0.09	10.09	\$406,000
Kent	81	81.6%	88.8%	80.6%	13.1%	14.72	1.01	0.15	18.35	\$267,886
Montgomery	5,945	90.9%	92.3%	90.4%	8.2%	8.84	1.01	0.11	11.95	\$440,000
Prince George's	3,532	90.6%	92.1%	90.1%	8.0%	8.73	1.01	0.11	12.61	\$349,000
Queen Anne's	676	91.5%	93.7%	90.8%	6.4%	6.80	1.01	0.09	9.61	\$420,000
St. Mary's	1,045	88.1%	88.3%	88.0%	6.9%	7.76	1.00	0.09	9.81	\$307,900
Somerset	91	83.8%	84.9%	82.2%	11.7%	13.73	1.02	0.15	17.76	\$185,000
Talbot	155	91.2%	93.5%	90.4%	7.9%	8.47	1.01	0.11	11.61	\$500,000
Washington	367	83.5%	86.3%	83.5%	11.8%	13.66	1.00	0.14	17.23	\$265,000
Wicomico	283	83.5%	86.0%	83.8%	11.9%	13.79	1.00	0.15	18.26	\$225,000
Worcester	707	82.6%	84.1%	83.0%	10.9%	12.95	0.99	0.13	15.86	\$310,000
Statewide	33,365	89.2%	90.7%	89.0%	9.3%	10.28	1.00	0.13	14.30	\$337,750

### TABLE IV-B Statewide Residential Ratio Study Frequency Statistics

	Average Ratio		
Total of Ratios = Number of Sales	<u>29,766.27</u> 33,365	=	89.2%
	Weighted Ratio		
Total <u>Assessed</u> Values = Total Sales Prices	<u>11,165,806,900</u> 12,552,756,598	=	89.0%
	Average Deviation		
Number of Sales	33,365	Ξ	9.3%
	Coefficient of Dispersion		
Average Absolute Deviation = Median Ratio	<u>9.3%</u> 90.7%	=	10.28
P	rice Related Differential		
Average Ratio =	89.2%	=	1.00
<u> </u>			

## Table V2021 Commercial Ratio Study

The table below shows statistics on arms-length sales between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2021 of commercial property in assessment Group 3. Ratios compare the Department's January 1, 2021, value to the actual sale price.

Ratio statistics are shown for all jurisdictions, even where the number of sales is so small that there is not a sufficient sample to provide accurate statistics. In cases where there are fewer than 10 sales, the ratio statistics are not used to calculate the base (Table I).

	Number	Total Assessed		Weighted	Average	Median
	of Sales	Values	<b>Total Sales Prices</b>	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
Allegany	18	5,014,600	5,156,000	97.3%	96.4%	98.6%
Anne Arundel	58	130,582,400	177,507,648	73.6%	97.0%	96.6%
<b>Baltimore City</b>	56	99,059,400	111,886,582	88.5%	84.7%	86.9%
<b>Baltimore County</b>	46	66,834,500	98,582,900	67.8%	84.7%	86.1%
Calvert	2	2,365,800	2,550,000	92.8%	86.0%	86.0%
Caroline	8	3,018,400	3,831,000	78.8%	100.5%	101.0%
Carroll	41	32,310,800	36,678,699	88.1%	92.0%	93.8%
Cecil	16	11,654,200	14,070,850	82.8%	88.6%	95.2%
Charles	10	5,420,200	5,921,000	91.5%	92.2%	92.1%
Dorchester	2	1,000,400	1,185,000	84.4%	82.4%	82.4%
Frederick	41	42,230,200	51,418,003	82.1%	83.5%	86.9%
Garrett	18	5,396,000	5,760,250	93.7%	96.6%	96.0%
Harford	26	138,648,600	234,422,818	59.1%	83.5%	78.6%
Howard	28	61,937,700	77,238,550	80.2%	82.3%	80.6%
Kent	10	4,027,500	5,834,500	69.0%	72.7%	78.8%
Montgomery	84	477,540,600	577,552,937	82.7%	94.2%	98.0%
Prince George's	52	173,563,900	185,035,490	93.8%	88.7%	95.8%
Queen Anne's	43	5,026,400	5,536,850	90.8%	99.6%	99.8%
St. Mary's	11	42,846,100	44,295,500	96.7%	93.6%	96.5%
Somerset	2	534,700	560,000	95.5%	96.1%	96.1%
Talbot	4	3,593,800	3,655,000	98.3%	98.0%	99.5%
Washington	28	56,213,400	68,010,364	82.7%	87.0%	91.5%
Wicomico	25	7,817,800	8,593,300	91.0%	92.9%	95.8%
Worcester	2	1,592,000	1,759,000	90.5%	83.3%	83.3%
Statewide	631	1,378,229,400	1,727,042,241	79.8%	90.3%	94.3%





300 West Preston St. Room 605 Baltimore, MD 21201